

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,620		12/05/2001	Tony Brummel	29794/37022A	5912
4743	7590	03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	RSTEIN & BORUN	BAUTISTA, XIOMARA L		
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 S. WACKER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAG	CHICAGO, IL 60606			2179	-
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{A}						
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summer	10/007,620	TONY BRUMMEL ET AL			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAII INC DATE of this arranging tion on	X L Bautista	2179			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple of the provided priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	,—					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ction is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	.*(e)					
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Infori Pape	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 3/7/02, 6//7/02, 3/3/03, 8/6/	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and T TOL-326 (R	rademark Office 3-/18/04, 11/14/04 Office Ac	ction Summary 8	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050317			

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9-13, 15-26, 29-33, and 35-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lavin et al (US 5,772,585).

 Claims 1, 11, 18, 21, 31 and 38:

Lavin discloses a system and method for managing patient medical information (electronic health care system) having common graphic user interface (abstract; col. 1, lines 53-63; col. 2, lines 13-22, 39-51, which has a common menu (activities; col. 5, lines 48-56) and common visual components (figs. 2, 4-6, 7-12); and a modular framework (col. 8, lines 59-67; col. 9, lines 1-18, 66-67; col. 10, lines 1-29; col. 12, lines 26-37, 65-67; col. 13, lines 1-44; col. 14, lines 24-36). Lavin illustrates in fig. 1 a plurality of workstations having a display screen, a processor, input devices, memory, etc. (col. 2, lines 1-38; col. 4, lines 33-50).

Claims 2, 12, 22 and 32:

Lavin teaches a single information database including data accessible by a

Art Unit: 2179

plurality of activities of the modular framework (abstract; col. 1, lines 32-63; col. 2, lines 1-5, 39-57, 65-67; col. 3, lines 1-7, 66-67; col. 4, lines 1-23).

Claims 3 and 23:

Lavin teaches the database includes patient records (col. 1, lines 21-34, 53-63; col. 2, lines 1-57).

Claims 4, 9, 10, 24, 29 and 30:

Lavin teaches system user security information (col. 5, lines 37-47; col. 9, lines 2-4).

Claims 5 and 25:

Lavin teaches at least one workspace displaying activities in the user interface (figs. 2, 4-6, 7-12).

Claims 6 and 26:

Lavin teaches a user interface having an activities toolbar listing available activities within the workspace and an area for displaying information related to a selected activity (figs. 2, 4-6, 7-12).

Claims 13 and 33:

Lavin teaches users are enabled to search the information database (col. 11, lines 61-67; col. 12, lines 1-25).

Art Unit: 2179

Claims 15-17 and 35-37:

Lavin teaches the system alerts the user in response to information provided in an activity (col. 2, lines 8-17, 35-38).

<u>Claims 19 and 39:</u>

Lavin teaches the workspace has a home (fig. 2), a workbench (figs. 4-11), appointment, patient information, clinical, report, etc. (col. 2, lines 39-51).

<u>Claims 20 and 40:</u>

Lavin teaches activities include at least one of order entry (claim 33), charts (col. 1, lines 21-31), alerts (col. 2, lines 12-16, 35-38), patient history (col. 2, lines 9-11), demographics (col. 2, lines 6-7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7, 8, 14, 27, 28 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lavin* and *Donnelly* (US 5,929,851).

Art Unit: 2179

Claims 7, 8, 14, 27, 28 and 34:

Lavin does not teach that the toolbar may be defined by the user. However, Donnelly discloses a graphical user interface that enables users to define a toolbar or menu. Donnelly teaches that users are enabled to define actions via visual views within the user interface (abstract; col. 1, lines 34-43; col. 2, lines 10-25, 37-67; col. 7, lines 11-18; col. 8, lines 40-56; col. 9, lines 7-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lavin's interface to include Donnelly's teaching of customizing a menu because as Donnelly says, the user is provided with an easy to use mechanism for grouping actions together as desired, for example so that the most commonly used actions are provided in one place.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to X L Bautista whose telephone number is (571) 272-4132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00AM-6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2179

ty Contaion I vanisher. To, our, or

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (7571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

X L Bautista

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2179 Page 6

xlb

17 March 2005